

16 Feb 78

The most obvious ~~problem~~ dilemma of the "class consciousness" ^{Theory of Historical} ~~school of materialist~~ sociology, when one thinks of the possessors of such consciousness as ~~family~~ parents, is that any aspirations for upward mobility they possess for themselves (although they lose it soon enough) or for their children (which presumably they hold valid the end) contradicts their wish to fulfill themselves in the class ~~to which~~ level in which they currently exist. The only persons exempted from this per se are those in the top class of society, for whom class consciousness and class perpetuation are as one thing, or those in the bottom class who ~~want to~~ have no upward-ambition but would have all classes above leveled. The latter are the extreme egalitarians, who can be motivated

37834

8

by socialist altruism as much as by materialistic
envy; Perhaps the future should ^{be allowed} lie with them,
although the scenario of such an egalitarian society that
cannot be chased from one's mind is the ~~gray-mindedness~~
~~gray-spiritedness~~ ~~it would engender~~ of the people
that would inhibit it. Every surge towards excellence
would ^{rush running against} be ~~contradictory~~ to the rule of equalitarianism;
The surge for individual differentiation would always be
on the defensive against the norm of ~~identity~~ ~~person~~
individual identicalness.

~~There~~ Besides those on top, who would preserve the
hierarchy because they are on top, there are some in the
middle who ~~would~~ do not care to move up (though
they fear to slide down) who would accept the existence

Of a class differentiated stratified society as a reality
separating

of human aspirations and talents, and allow it as an

ideal if mobility ^{between} ~~within~~ classes were rigorously

~~assured~~ offered as a condition of society's health.